arXiv:1501.06131v1 [math.PR] 25 Jan 2015

LINEAR EVOLUTION EQUATIONS WITH CYLINDRICAL LÉVY NOISE: GRADIENT ESTIMATES AND EXPONENTIAL ERGODICITY

JIAN WANG

Abstract. Explicit coupling property and gradient estimates are investigated for the linear evolution equations on Hilbert spaces driven by an additive cylindrical Lévy process. The results are efficiently applied to establish the exponential ergodicity for the associated transition semigroups. In particular, our results extend recent developments on related topic for cylindrical symmetric α-stable processes.

Keywords: Cylindrical Lévy processes; linear evolution equations; coupling property; gradient estimates; exponential ergodicity

MSC 2010: 60H15; 60J75; 60G51; 35R60.

1. Introduction and Main Results

Let $\mathbb{H}$ be a real separable Hilbert space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$, and $(A, D(A))$ be a linear possibly unbounded operator which generates a C_0-semigroup (i.e. a strongly continuous one-parameter semigroup of linear operators) $(T_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ on $\mathbb{H}$. Consider the following linear evolution equation:

$$dX_t = AX_t\,dt + dZ_t, \quad t \geqslant 0, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{H}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $Z = (Z_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is an infinite dimensional Lévy process which may take values in a Hilbert space $\mathbb{U}$ usually greater than $\mathbb{H}$. The Markov process $X = (X_t^x)_{t\geqslant 0}$ determined by (1.1) (if exists) is called a Lévy driven Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, e.g. see [1, 2, 9, 21, 28] and the references therein. The associated transition semigroup acting on $B_b(\mathbb{H})$, the class of all bounded measurable functions on $\mathbb{H}$, is given by

$$P_t f(x) := \mathbb{E} f(X_t^x),$$

which is called a generalized Mehler semigroup in the sense of [6, 12, 17].

Throughout this paper we suppose that the infinite dimensional Lévy process $Z = (Z_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ in (1.1) is defined by the orthogonal expression

$$Z_t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_t^n e_n, \quad t \geqslant 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where (e_n) is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{H}$ and $(Z_t^n)_{t\geqslant 0, n\geqslant 1}$ are independent real valued pure jump Lévy processes defined on a fixed stochastic basis. We call $(Z_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ given by (1.2) an additive cylindrical Lévy process, see [3] for the recent study on cylindrical Lévy processes. We also need the following assumption on the operator $(A, D(A))$:

J. Wang: School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Fujian Normal University, 350007, Fuzhou, P.R. China. `jianwang@fjnu.edu.cn`.

Hypothesis (H). *The operator $(A, D(A))$ is a self-adjoint operator such that for the fixed basis (e_n) in (1.2) it verifies that $(e_n) \subset D(A)$, $Ae_n = -\gamma_n e_n$ with $\gamma_n > 0$ for any $n \geqslant 1$, and $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$.*

That is, we require that the basis (e_n) from the representation (1.2) are eigenvectors of A. Then, we can identify $\mathbb{H}$ with $l^2 = \{x = (x_n) : \sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n^2 < \infty\}$, and A with the diagonal operator $Ax_n = -\gamma_n x_n$ for $(x_n) \in l^2$ and $n \geqslant 1$. Thus, under assumptions (1.2) and **(H)**, the equation (1.1) can be solved for each coordinate separately, i.e.,

$$dX_t^{x,n} = -\gamma_n X_t^{x,n}\, dt + dZ_t^n, \quad X_0^{x,n} = x_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N},$$

with $x = (x_n) \in l^2$. Therefore, the unique solution to the equation (1.1) can be seen as a stochastic process $X = (X_t^x)_{t\geqslant 0}$ taking values in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with components

$$X_t^{x,n} = e^{-\gamma_n t} x_n + \int_0^t e^{-\gamma_n(t-s)}\, dZ_s^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, t \geqslant 0. \tag{1.3}$$

In the following, we always assume that the process $X = (X_t^x)_{t\geqslant 0}$ takes values in $\mathbb{H}$. According to Corollary 2.3 below, this is guaranteed if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^1 \left(e^{-2\gamma_n s} \int_{\{|z|\leqslant e^{\gamma_n s}\}} z^2\, \nu_n(dz) + \int_{\{|z|>e^{\gamma_n s}\}} \nu_n(dz) \right) ds < \infty, \tag{1.4}$$

where for any $n \geqslant 1$, ν_n is the Lévy measure of the Lévy process $(Z_t^n)_{t\geqslant 0}$ on $\mathbb{R}$. We first study the coupling property and explicit gradient estimates of the associated Markov semigroup for the process X. Recall that the process X has successful couplings (or has the coupling property) if and only if for any $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|P_t(x,\cdot) - P_t(y,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{Var}} = 0,$$

where $P_t(x, dz)$ is the transition kernel of the process X and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{Var}}$ stands for the total variation norm. Let $(P_t)_{\geqslant 0}$ be the transition semigroup of the process X. The uniform norm of its gradient is defined as follows:

$$\|\nabla P_t\|_\infty := \sup\left\{ |\nabla_z P_t g(x)| : \|z\| \leqslant 1, x \in \mathbb{H}, g \in B_b(\mathbb{H}) \text{ with } \|g\|_{\mathbb{H},\infty} \leqslant 1 \right\},$$

where

$$|\nabla_z P_t g(x)| := \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Big| P_t g(x + \varepsilon z) - P_t g(x) \Big|,$$

and $\|g\|_{\mathbb{H},\infty}$ is denoted by the supremun norm, i.e. $\|g\|_{\mathbb{H},\infty} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{H}} |g(x)|$. A continuous function $f : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is said to be a Bernstein function if $(-1)^k f^{(k)}(x) \leqslant 0$ for all $x > 0$ and $k \geqslant 1$. One of our main contributions is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $X = (X_t^x)_{t\geqslant 0}$ be the process with components (1.3) taking values in $\mathbb{H}$, i.e. (1.4) holds. Suppose that for any $n \geqslant 1$, the Lévy measure ν_n of the Lévy process $(Z_t^n)_{t\geqslant 0}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ satisfies that*

$$\nu_n(dz) \geqslant |z|^{-1} f_n(z^{-2})\, dz, \tag{1.5}$$

where f_n is a Bernstein function with $f_n(0) = 0$ and $\lim\limits_{r\to\infty} \frac{f_n(r)}{\log(1+r)} = \infty$. Then, for any $t > 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$,

$$\|\nabla P_t\|_\infty \leqslant C_t, \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$\|P_t(x,\cdot)-P_t(y,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{Var}}\leqslant 2C_t\|x-y\|,$$

where

$$C_t:=\sqrt{2\sup_{k\geqslant 1}\left[\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\cos 1}{2}tf_k(r)}\,dr\right)\wedge\left(e^{-\gamma_k t}\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{(\cos 1)(1-e^{-2\gamma_k t})}{4\gamma_k}f_k(r)}\,dr\right)\right]},\quad t>0.$$

The coupling property and gradient estimates have been intensively studied for linear stochastic differential equations driven by Lévy processes on $\mathbb{R}^d$, see e.g. [5, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37]. Among them, gradient estimates for linear evolution equations on $\mathbb{R}^d$ have been obtained in [37, Theorem 1.1] by using a similar bound condition (1.6) on the Lévy measure, and then they are improved in [33, Theorem 1.3] and [35, Theorem 3.1] via the symbol of Lévy processes. Recently, by using the lower bound conditions for the Lévy measure with respect to a nice reference probability measure, we have successfully obtained the coupling property and gradient estimates for linear stochastic differential equations driven by non-cylindrical Lévy processes on Banach spaces, see [38, Theorem 1.2]. However, as mentioned in the paragraph before [38, Theorem 1.1], the situation for cylindrical Lévy processes is essentially different from that for non-cylindrical Lévy processes. This implies that the framework adopted in [38] does not apply to the present settings. We stress that Theorem 1.1 improves [23, Theorem 4.14] for cylindrical symmetric α-stable noise, and also points out the difference form non-cylindrical Lévy processes.

As an application of Theorem 1.1, we will study the exponential ergodicity for the stochastic process $X=(X_t^x)_{t\geqslant 0}$ with components (1.3). Let $(f_n)_{n\geqslant 1}$ be a sequence of Bernstein functions, and for any $t>0$, let C_t be the constant defined in Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that for any $n\geqslant 1$, $(Z_t^n)_{t\geqslant 0}$ in (1.3) is a symmetric pure jump Lévy process associated with the (symmetric) Lévy measure ν_n. Assume that the following two assumptions hold:*

- (i) *There exists a constant $\alpha\in(0,1]$ such that*
$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty\int_0^\infty\left(e^{-2\gamma_n s}\int_{\{|z|\leqslant e^{\gamma_n s}\}}z^2\,\nu_n(dz)+e^{-\alpha\gamma_n s}\int_{\{|z|>e^{\gamma_n s}\}}|z|^\alpha\,\nu_n(dz)\right)ds<\infty;$$
- (ii) *For any $n\geqslant 1$,*
$$\nu_n(dz)\geqslant |z|^{-1}f_n(z^{-2})\,dz,$$
where f_n is a Bernstein function such that $f_n(0)=0$, $\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{f_n(r)}{\log(1+r)}=\infty$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty}C_t=0$.

Then, the process $X=(X_t^x)_{t\geqslant 0}$ with components (1.3) takes values in $\mathbb{H}$, and there exist the unique invariant measure μ and a constant $C>0$ such that for any $t>0$ and $x\in\mathbb{H}$,

$$\|P_t(x,\cdot)-\mu\|_{\mathrm{Var}}\leqslant C(1+\|x\|^\alpha)C_t^\alpha.$$

The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present some preliminaries on cylindrical Lévy processes. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Here, the most important estimates for the density function of one-dimensional subordinate Brownian motions are established in Proposition 3.1, which is key to the proof of Theorem 1.1 and indicates the difference from finite dimensional situations. We also obtain the gradient estimate and the coupling property for the linear evolution equation driven by cylindrical subordinate Brownian motions, see Proposition 3.2 below. In Section 4, we will study the exponential ergodicity for the linear evolution equations (1.1). The proof of Theorem 1.2 is presented.

2. PRELIMINARILY: CYLINDRICAL LÉVY PROCESSES ON HILBERT SPACES

In the section, $\mathbb{H}$ will denote a real separable Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the norm $\| \cdot \|$. We will fix an orthonormal basis (e_n) in $\mathbb{H}$. Through the basis (e_n) we will often identify $\mathbb{H}$ with l^2. More generally, for a given sequence $\rho = (\rho_n)$ of real numbers, we set

$$l^2_\rho := \left\{ (x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^2 \rho_n^2 < \infty \right\}. \tag{2.7}$$

It is clear that l^2_ρ becomes a separable Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_n \rho_n^2$ for $x = (x_n), y = (y_n) \in l^2_\rho$.

Let us recall that a Lévy process $Z = (Z_t)_{t \geqslant 0}$ with values in $\mathbb{H}$ is an $\mathbb{H}$-valued process defined on some stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, (\mathscr{F}_t), \mathbb{P})$, continuous in probability, having stationary independent increments, càdlàg trajectories, and such that $Z_0 = 0$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. It is well known that

$$\mathbb{E} e^{i \langle Z_t, x \rangle} = \exp\big(- t \Phi(x) \big), \quad x \in \mathbb{H},$$

where the characteristic exponent (or the symbol) Φ can be expressed by the following infinite dimensional Lévy-Khintchine formula

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Qx, x \rangle - i \langle b, x \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{H}} \left(1 - e^{i \langle x, z \rangle} + i \langle x, z \rangle \mathbb{1}_{\{\|z\| \leqslant 1\}} \right) \Pi(dz), \quad x \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Here Q is a non-negative, self-adjoint trace class operator on $\mathbb{H}$, $b \in \mathbb{H}$ and Π is the Lévy measure on $\mathbb{H} \backslash \{0\}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{H} \backslash \{0\}} (1 \wedge \|z\|^2) \, \Pi(dz) < \infty$. We call the triple (Q, b, Π) the characteristics of the Lévy process Z, e.g. see [20, Chapter VI] and [21, Chapter 4].

For cylindrical Lévy process Z given by (1.2), we assume that $(Z_t^n)_{t \geqslant 0, n \geqslant 1}$ are defined on the same stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, (\mathscr{F}_t), \mathbb{P})$ satisfying the usual assumptions. Since for any $n \geqslant 1$, $(Z_t^n)_{t \geqslant 0}$ is a pure jump Lévy process on $\mathbb{R}$, we have, for each $n \geqslant 1$ and $t \geqslant 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} e^{i h Z_t^n} = e^{-t \psi_n(h)}, \quad h \in \mathbb{R},$$

where

$$\psi_n(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1 - e^{ihz} + ihz \mathbb{1}_{\{|z| \leqslant 1\}} \right) \nu_n(dz), \quad h \in \mathbb{R}, n \geqslant 1, \tag{2.8}$$

and ν_n is the Lévy measure on $\mathbb{R} \backslash \{0\}$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R} \backslash \{0\}} (1 \wedge z^2) \, \nu_n(dz) < \infty$, e.g. see [29]. The following result essentially follows from [21, Theorem 4.40], which gives us an if and only if condition such that the cylindrical Lévy process $Z = (Z_t)_{t \geqslant 0}$ given by (1.2) takes values in $\mathbb{H}$.

Proposition 2.1. ([21, Theorem 4.40]) *The cylindrical Lévy process Z given by* (1.2) *takes values in $\mathbb{H}$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int(1\wedge z^2)\,\nu_n(dz)<\infty. \tag{2.9}$$

As a direct consequence, we have the following example.

Example 2.2. Let $Z=(Z_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ be a cylindrical Lévy process with the following form

$$Z_t=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\beta_n Z_t^n e_n,\quad t\geqslant 0, \tag{2.10}$$

where (e_n) is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{H}$, $(Z_t^n)_{t\geqslant 0,n\geqslant 1}$ are independent, real valued, identically distributed Lévy processes defined on a fixed stochastic basis, and (β_n) is a given possibly unbounded sequence of positive numbers. Let ν be the common Lévy measure corresponding to $(Z_t^n)_{t\geqslant 0,n\geqslant 1}$, e.g. see (2.8). Then, the cylindrical Lévy process Z given by (2.10) takes values in $\mathbb{H}$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\beta_n^2\int_{\{|z|\leqslant 1/\beta_n\}}z^2\,\nu(dz)+\int_{\{|z|>1/\beta_n\}}\nu(dz)\right)<\infty.$$

Example 2.2 covers [23, Proposition 3.3] for cylindrical symmetric α-stable processes, and also extends [25, Proposition 2.4] where for any $n\geqslant 1$, the symmetry of the Lévy process $(Z_t^n)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is required. On the other hand, according to Proposition 2.1, we know that the cylindrical Lévy process Z given by (2.10) is a Lévy process with values in the space l^2_ρ, see (2.7), where (ρ_n) is a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left((\rho_n\beta_n)^2\int_{\{|z|\leqslant 1/(\rho_n\beta_n)\}}z^2\,\nu(dz)+\int_{\{|z|>1/(\rho_n\beta_n)\}}\nu(dz)\right)<\infty.$$

That is, with the sequence (ρ_n) above, the cylindrical Lévy process Z given by (2.10) is an honest l^2_ρ-valued Lévy process, see [23, Remark 4.1] and [25, Remark 2.7].

Furthermore, as an application of Proposition 2.1, we can provide a criteria such that the process $X=(X_t^x)_{t\geqslant 0}$ with components (1.3) takes values in $\mathbb{H}$.

Corollary 2.3. *The process $X=(X_t^x)_{t\geqslant 0}$ with components* (1.3) *takes values in $\mathbb{H}$ if and only if* (1.4) *holds. Moreover, under* (1.4), *$X=(X_t^x)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a Markov process, and*

$$X_t^x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}X_t^{x,n}e_n=e^{tA}x+Z_A(t),$$

where

$$Z_A(t)=\int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}\,dZ_s=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\int_0^t e^{-\gamma_n(t-s)}\,dZ_s^n\right)e_n.$$

When the Lévy process $(Z_t^n)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is square integrable with zero mean, Corollary 2.3 has been proved in [21, Proposition 9.7]; when the Lévy process $(Z_t^n)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is symmetric, Corollary 2.3 is just [25, Theorem 2.8]. For the general case, we refer to [28, Corollary 6.3] for the recent study. Below we include the proof of Corollary 2.3 for the sake of completeness.

Proof of Corollary 2.3. For any $n \geqslant 1$ and $t \geqslant 0$, let us consider the stochastic convolution

$$Y_t^n = \int_0^t e^{-\gamma_n(t-s)}\, dZ_s^n.$$

The law of Y_t^n is an infinitely divisible probability distribution, and its characteristic exponent is

$$-\log(\mathbb{E}(e^{ihY_t^n})) = \int_0^t \psi_n(e^{-\gamma_n s}h)\, ds, \quad t \geqslant 0, h \in \mathbb{R},$$

where ψ_n is given by (2.8), e.g. see [21, Corollary 4.29] or [35, Lemma 3.1]. Since the driving Lévy process $(Z_t^n)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a pure jump Lévy process, the Lévy triplet $(0, b_t, \nu_{n,t})$ of Y_t^n is given by, e.g. see [30, Theorem 3.1] and [19, Proposition 2.1],

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_{n,t}(D) &= \int_0^t \nu_n(e^{-s\gamma_n}D)\, ds, \qquad D \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}),\\ b_t &= \int_{z\neq 0}\int_0^t e^{s\gamma_n} z\Big(\mathbb{1}_{\{|z|\leqslant 1\}}(e^{s\gamma_n}z) - \mathbb{1}_{\{|z|\leqslant 1\}}(z)\Big)\, ds\, \nu_n(dz),\end{aligned}$$

where ν_n is the Lévy measure of ψ_n given in (2.8). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{n=1}^\infty \int (1\wedge z^2)\,\nu_{n,t}(dz)\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1\wedge (e^{-s\gamma_n}z)^2)\,\nu_n(dz)\right) ds\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^t \left(e^{-2\gamma_n s}\int_{\{|z|\leqslant e^{\gamma_n s}\}} z^2\,\nu_n(dz) + \int_{\{|z|> e^{\gamma_n s}\}} \nu_n(dz)\right) ds.\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Assumption (1.4) gives us that $\mathbb{P}(X_1^x \in \mathbb{H}) = 1$, due to (2.11) and (2.9). So, in order to complete the proof, it remains to show that $\mathbb{P}(X_1^x \in \mathbb{H}) = 1$ implies $\mathbb{P}(X_t^x \in \mathbb{H}) = 1$ for any $t > 0$. Since (1.4) verifies that

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^t \left(e^{-2\gamma_n s}\int_{\{|z|\leqslant e^{\gamma_n s}\}} z^2\,\nu_n(dz) + \int_{\{|z|> e^{\gamma_n s}\}} \nu_n(dz)\right) ds < \infty, \quad 0 < t \leqslant 1,$$

also by (2.9), for any $0 < t \leqslant 1$, $\mathbb{P}(X_t^x \in \mathbb{H}) = 1$.

For any $t > 0$, set $Y_t = \big(Y_t^n\big)_{n\geqslant 1}$. Then,

$$Y_t = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left(\int_0^t e^{-\gamma_n(t-u)}\, dZ_u^n\right) e_n =: \int_0^t e^{(t-u)A}\, dZ_u.$$

For any $t > 1$, we have the following identity on the product space $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t - e^{(t-1)A} Y_1 &= \int_0^t e^{(t-u)A}\, dZ_u - e^{(t-1)A} \int_0^1 e^{(1-u)A}\, dZ_u \\ &= \int_0^t e^{(t-u)A}\, dZ_u - \int_0^1 e^{(t-u)A}\, dZ_u \\ &= \int_1^t e^{(t-u)A}\, dZ_u \\ &= \int_0^{t-1} e^{(t-1-u)A}\, dZ_u^1, \end{aligned}$$

where $Z_u^1 = Z_{1+u} - Z_1$, $u \geqslant 0$, is still a Lévy process with values in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Note that $\int_0^{t-1} e^{(t-1-u)A}\, dZ_u^1$ has the same law as Y_{t-1}. Since $\mathbb{P}(Y_{t-1} \in \mathbb{H}) = 1$ for any $1 < t \leqslant 2$ and $\mathbb{P}(Y_1 \in \mathbb{H}) = 1$, we have, by the identity above, $\mathbb{P}(Y_t \in \mathbb{H}) = 1$ for any $1 < t \leqslant 2$. Using an iteration produce, we furthermore infer that $\mathbb{P}(Y_t \in \mathbb{H}) = 1$ for any $t \geqslant 0$. This proves the required assertion.

The Markov property of X follows from the identity below

$$Y_t - e^{(t-s)A} Y_s = \int_0^{t-s} e^{(t-s-u)A}\, dZ_u^s, \quad 0 < s \leqslant t,$$

where $Z_u^s = Z_{s+u} - Z_s$. □

We close this section with two remarks and one example for Corollary 2.3.

Remark 2.4. (1) According to [9, Theorem 2.3] or [1, Theorem 7], if the Lévy process Z takes values in $\mathbb{H}$, then the linear equation (1.1) has the unique mild solution X which also takes values in $\mathbb{H}$. Thus, it is not surprising to see that (2.9) implies (1.4).

(2) If the cylindrical Lévy process Z given by (1.2) takes values in the Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$, i.e. the condition (2.9) holds, then, by the Kotelenez regularity result (see [21, Theorem 9.20]), trajectories of the process X which solves (1.1) are càdlàg with values in $\mathbb{H}$, e.g. see [23, the first paragraph in Section 4.1] and [25, Remark 2.10]. On the other hand, assume that the process X which solves (1.1) has $\mathbb{H}$-càdlàg modification, where the Lévy process Z is defined by (1.2). Then, according to (the proof of) [18, Theorem 2.1], for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\{|z| \geqslant \varepsilon\}} \nu_n(dz) < \infty,$$

where for any $n \geqslant 1$, ν_n is the Lévy measure corresponding to the pure jump Lévy process $(Z_t^n)_{t \geqslant 0}$.

Example 2.5 (Continuation of Example 2.2)**.** Let X be the process with components (1.3), where Z is the cylindrical Lévy process given by (2.10). Then, the process X takes values in $\mathbb{H}$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \left(\beta_n^2 e^{-2\gamma_n s} \int_{\{|z| \leqslant \beta_n^{-1} e^{\gamma_n s}\}} z^2\, \nu(dz) + \int_{\{|z| > \beta_n^{-1} e^{\gamma_n s}\}} \nu(dz) \right) ds < \infty. \tag{2.12}$$

3. Coupling Property and Gradient Estimates

3.1. **One-dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Processes Driven by Subordinate Brownian Motions.** In this subsection, we will consider one-dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes driven by subordinate Brownian motions, which are a class of special but important Lévy processes.

We first recall some facts and properties for subordinate Brownian motions. Suppose that $(B_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}$ with

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[e^{ih(B_t-B_0)}\Big]=e^{-th^2},\qquad h\in\mathbb{R},t>0,$$

and $(S_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a subordinator (that is, $(S_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a nonnegative Lévy process on $[0,\infty)$ such that S_t is increasing and right-continuous in t with $S_0=0$) independent of $(B_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$. For any $t\geqslant 0$, let μ_t^S be the probability distribution of the subordinator S, i.e. $\mu_t^S(D)=\mathbb{P}(S_t\in D)$ for any $D\in\mathscr{B}([0,\infty))$. It is well known that the associated Laplace transformation of μ_t^S for each $t\geqslant 0$ is given by

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-rs}\mu_t^S(ds)=e^{-tf(r)},\qquad r>0,$$

where $f(r)$ is a Bernstein function, i.e. $(-1)^kf^{(k)}(r)\leqslant 0$ for all $r>0$ and $k\geqslant 1$. We refer to [32] for more details about Bernstein functions and subordinators. The process $Z=(Z_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$Z_t=B_{S_t},\quad t\geqslant 0$$

is called subordinate Brownian motion, which is a symmetric Lévy process with

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[e^{ih(Z_t-Z_0)}\Big]=e^{-tf(h^2)},\qquad h\in\mathbb{R},t>0.$$

That is, the symbol or the characteristic exponent of the subordinate Brownian motion $(Z_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is $f(h^2)$, see [13]. The transition density function of the subordinated Brownian motion Z exists, and it is given by

$$p_t^Z(x,y)=p_t^Z(x-y)=\int_0^\infty\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi s}}\exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4s}\right)\mu_t^S(ds)\tag{3.13}$$

for $t>0$ and $x,y\in\mathbb{R}$. Examples of subordinate Brownian motions include symmetric α-stable processes, relativistic α-stable processes and so on.

Now, we will consider the process $X=(X_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ given by

$$X_t^x=e^{-\gamma t}x+\int_0^t e^{-\gamma(t-s)}\,dZ_s,\quad t\geqslant 0,x\in\mathbb{R},\tag{3.14}$$

where $\gamma\geqslant 0$ and $(Z_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a subordinated Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}$ associated with the Bernstein function f.

The following result is the key to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Denote by $C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ the set of all infinite differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}$ such that all their derivatives are bounded.

Proposition 3.1. *If*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{f(r)}{\log(1+r)}=\infty,\tag{3.15}$$

then the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process $(X_t^x)_{t\geqslant 0}$ *given by* (3.14) *has a density function of the form* $p_t(\cdot - e^{-\gamma_n t}x)$*. Here, for any* $t>0$*, the function* p_t *enjoys the following properties:*

(i) $p_t>0$ *and* $p_t\in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *such that* p_t *is even on* $\mathbb{R}$*,* p_t' *is odd on* $\mathbb{R}$*.*

(ii)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(p_t'(x))^2}{p_t(x)}\,dx \leqslant 2\int_0^\infty e^{-F_t(r)}\,dr, \tag{3.16}$$

where for any $t,r>0$*,*

$$F_t(r)=\int_0^t f(e^{-2\gamma s}r)\,ds.$$

Proof. Let us first consider the stochastic convolution

$$Y_t=\int_0^t e^{-\gamma(t-s)}\,dZ_s,\quad t\geqslant 0.$$

A direct calculation shows for any $h\in\mathbb{R}$ and $t\geqslant 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}e^{-ihY_t}=\exp\Big(-\int_0^t f(e^{-2\gamma s}h^2)\,ds\Big)=\exp\Big(-F_t(h^2)\Big),$$

e.g. see [21, Corollary 4.29] or [35, Lemma 3.1].

Since $r\mapsto f(e^{-2\gamma s}r)$ is a Bernstein function for every $s>0$ and $\gamma\geqslant 0$, it follows from [32, Corollary 3.7] that F_t is also a Bernstein function. Then, there exists a probability measure μ_t on $[0,\infty)$ such that its Laplace transformation

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-rs}\,\mu_t(ds)=e^{-F_t(r)},\quad r\geqslant 0.$$

Furthermore, due to (3.15), we have

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{F_t(r)}{\log(1+r)}=\infty\qquad\text{for all } t>0.$$

According to (the proof of) [16, Theorem 1], we know that for all $t>0$ there exists a density function p_t for Y_t such that $p_t\in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, and $p_t^{(n)}$ exists and belongs to $L_1(\mathbb{R})\cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ for all $n\geqslant 0$. By (3.14), we know that for any $t>0$, the density function of X_t^x exists and is equivalent to $p_t(\cdot - e^{-\gamma t}x)$. On the other hand, as mentioned above, $F_t(r)$ is a Bernstein function. Therefore, according to (3.13),

$$p_t(x)=\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi s}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{4s}}\,\mu_t(ds),\quad x\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Clearly, $p_t(x)>0$ for all $x\in\mathbb{R}$, $p_t(x)$ is even and $p_t'(x)$ is odd. In what follows, we write $p_t(x)=q_t(x^2)$ for all $x\in\mathbb{R}$, where

$$q_t(x)=\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi s}}e^{-\frac{x}{4s}}\,\mu_t(ds).$$

It is easy to see that for any $n\geqslant 1$, $q_t^{(n)}$ exists such that for any $x>0$, $q_t^{(2n-1)}(x)<0$ and $q_t^{(2n)}(x)>0$. Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{(p_t'(x))^2}{p_t(x)}\,dx=2\int_0^\infty\frac{(p_t'(x))^2}{p_t(x)}\,dx=4\int_0^\infty\frac{\sqrt{x}q_t'(x)^2}{q_t(x)}\,dx.$$

Since, by $q(+\infty)=q'(+\infty)=0$, $q_t'(x)<0$ and $q_t^{(3)}(x)<0$ for all $x>0$,

$$q_t'(x)^2=-2\int_x^\infty q_t'(u)q_t''(u)\,du\leqslant 2q_t''(x)\Big(-\int_x^\infty q_t'(u)\,du\Big)=2q_t''(x)q_t(x),$$

we arrive at

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{(p_t'(x))^2}{p_t(x)}\,dx\leqslant 8\int_0^\infty \sqrt{x}q_t''(x)\,dx.$$

Noting that

$$q_t''(x)=\frac{1}{32\sqrt{\pi}}\int_0^\infty\frac{1}{s^{5/2}}e^{-\frac{x}{4s}}\,\mu_t(ds),$$

we further get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{(p_t'(x))^2}{p_t(x)}\,dx\leqslant&\frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}}\int_0^\infty\sqrt{x}\int_0^\infty\frac{1}{s^{5/2}}e^{-\frac{x}{4s}}\,\mu_t(ds)\,dx\\ =&\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_0^\infty\sqrt{r}e^{-r}\,dr\int_0^\infty\frac{1}{s}\mu_t(ds)\\ =&2\int_0^\infty\frac{1}{s}\mu_t(ds)\\ =&2\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty e^{-rs}\,dr\,\mu_t(ds)\\ =&2\int_0^\infty dr\int_0^\infty e^{-rs}\,\mu_t(ds)\\ =&2\int_0^\infty e^{-F_t(r)}\,dr.\end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.16). The proof is completed. □

3.2. **Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Processes on $\mathbb{H}$ Driven by Cylindrical Subordinated Brownian Motions.** In this part, let X be the process with components (1.3), where for any $n\geqslant 1$, $(Z_t^n)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a subordinated Brownian motion associated with the Bernstein function f_n satisfying

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{f_n(r)}{\log(1+r)}=\infty.$$

For simplicity, we call X the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process driven by additive cylindrical subordinate Brownian motions.

We will drive explicit estimates about the uniform norm of the gradient for the semigroup $(P_t)_{\geqslant 0}$ corresponding to linear evolution equations driven by additive cylindrical subordinate Brownian motions. Recall that the uniform norm of the gradient for the semigroup $(P_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is defined by

$$\|\nabla P_t\|_\infty:=\sup\Big\{|\nabla_z P_tg(x)|:\|z\|\leqslant 1, x\in\mathbb{H}, g\in B_b(\mathbb{H})\text{ with }\|g\|_{\mathbb{H},\infty}\leqslant 1\Big\},$$

where

$$|\nabla_z P_tg(x)|:=\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}|P_tg(x+\varepsilon z)-P_tg(x)|.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let X be the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process driven by additive cylindrical subordinate Brownian motions as above, such that it takes values in $\mathbb{H}$. Let $(P_t)_{\geqslant 0}$ and $P_t(x,\cdot)$ be its semigroup and transition kernel, respectively. Then, for any $t>0$, and $x,y\in\mathbb{H}$,*

$$\|\nabla P_t\|_\infty \leqslant A_t, \tag{3.17}$$

and

$$\|P_t(x,\cdot)-P_t(y,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{Var}} \leqslant 2A_t\|x-y\|, \tag{3.18}$$

where

$$A_t=\sqrt{2\sup_{k\geqslant 1}\left(e^{-2\gamma_k t}\int_0^\infty e^{-F_{k,t}(r)}\,dr\right)}.$$

Moreover, it holds that for any $t>0$,

$$A_t\leqslant C_t:=\sqrt{2\sup_{k\geqslant 1}\left[\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-tf_k(r)}\,dr\right)\wedge\left(e^{-\gamma_k t}\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2\gamma_k t}}{2\gamma_k}f_k(r)}\,dr\right)\right]},\quad t>0.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we will assume that $A_t<\infty$ for all $t>0$. The proof of (3.17) is motivated from that of [23, Theorem 4.14], and so here we only point out the main differences. Identifying $\mathbb{H}$ with l^2 through the basis (e_n), we have

$$g(x)=g(x_1,\cdots,x_n,\cdots),\quad x\in\mathbb{H}.$$

For any $x=(x_n)\in l^2$, denote by

$$\mu_t^x=\prod_{k=1}^\infty \mu_{k,t}^{x_k}$$

the Borel product measure in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\mu_{k,t}^{x_k}(dz_k)=p_{k,t}(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t x_k})\,dz_k,\quad k\geqslant 1.$$

According to Proposition 3.1 and Hypothesis **(H)**, we get that for any $g\in B_b(\mathbb{H})$,

$$P_tg(x)=\int_{\mathbb{H}} g(y)\prod_{k=1}^\infty \mu_{k,t}^{x_k}(dy_k)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}} g(z)\prod_{k=1}^\infty p_{k,t}(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}x_k)\,dz_k.$$

We first assume that $g\in C_b(\mathbb{H})$, where $C_b(\mathbb{H})$ denotes the class of all bounded continuous functions on $\mathbb{H}$. For any $x,h\in\mathbb{H}$, denote by $D_hP_tg(x)$ the directional derivative of P_tg at x along the direction h, i.e.

$$D_hP_tg(x)=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{P_tg(x+\varepsilon h)-P_tg(x)}{\varepsilon}.$$

We will prove that $D_hP_tg(x)$ exists for any $x,h\in\mathbb{H}$. For $n\geqslant 1$, set $h_{(n)}=\sum_{k=1}^n h_ke_k$, so that $h_{(n)}\to h$ in $\mathbb{H}$ as $n\to\infty$. By the dominated convergence theorem, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}D_{h_{(n)}}P_tg(x) =&\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{P_tg(x+\varepsilon h_{(n)})-P_tg(x)}{\varepsilon}\\ =&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}} g(z)\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_{k,t}'(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k)}{p_{k,t}(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k)}e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k\right)\prod_{k=1}^\infty p_{k,t}(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}x_k)\,dz_k\\ =&-\int_{\mathbb{H}} g(z)\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_{k,t}'(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k)}{p_{k,t}(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k)}e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k\right)\prod_{k=1}^\infty \mu_{k,t}^{x_k}(dz_k).\end{aligned}$$

In order to pass to limit, as $n\to\infty$, we will show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_{k,t}'(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k)}{p_{k,t}(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k)}e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k \quad \text{converges in } L^2(\mu_t^x).$$

Indeed, Proposition 3.1 shows that the function $p_{k,t}$ is even, and its derivative $p_{k,t}'$ is odd. Hence, for any $j\neq k$,

$$\begin{aligned}e^{-\gamma_k t}e^{-\gamma_j t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}&\frac{p_{k,t}'(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k)}{p_{k,t}(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k)}\frac{p_{k,t}'(z_j-e^{-\gamma_j t}h_j)}{p_{k,t}(z_j-e^{-\gamma_j t}h_j)}\\ &\times p_{k,t}(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k)p_{k,t}(z_j-e^{-\gamma_j t}h_j)\,dz_k\,dz_j=0.\end{aligned}$$

For any $n\geqslant 1$ and $t,r>0$, define

$$F_{n,t}(r)=\int_0^t f_n(e^{-2\gamma_n s}r)\,ds.$$

Therefore, for any $m,j\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{H}}\left(\sum_{k=m}^{m+j}\frac{p_{k,t}'(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k)}{p_{k,t}(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k)}e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k\right)^2\mu_t^x(dz)\\ &=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{j+1}}\left(\sum_{k=m}^{m+j}\frac{p_{k,t}'(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k)}{p_{k,t}(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k)}e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k\right)^2\prod_{k=m}^{m+j}p_{k,t}(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}x_k)\,dz_k\\ &=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{j+1}}\left(\sum_{k=m}^{m+j}\frac{(p_{k,t}'(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k))^2}{p_{kt}^2(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k)}e^{-2\gamma_k t}h_k^2\right)\prod_{k=m}^{m+j}p_{k,t}(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}x_k)\,dz_k\\ &=\sum_{k=m}^{m+j}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{j+1}}\frac{(p_{k,t}'(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k))^2}{p_{kt}^2(z_k-e^{-\gamma_k t}h_k)}e^{-2\gamma_k t}h_k^2\prod_{i=m}^{m+j}p_{i,t}(z_i-e^{-\gamma_i t}x_i)\,dz_i\\ &=\sum_{k=m}^{m+j}e^{-2\gamma_k t}h_k^2\int\frac{(p_{k,t}'(x))^2}{p_{k,t}(x)}\,dx\\ &\leqslant\left[2\sup_{k\geqslant 1}\left(e^{-2\gamma_k t}\int_0^\infty e^{-F_{k,t}(r)}\,dr\right)\right]\sum_{k=m}^{m+j}h_k^2\\ &=:A_t^2\sum_{k=m}^{m+j}h_k^2,\end{aligned}$$

where in the inequality above we have used (3.16).

Note that, by changing variables, we find that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$D_{h_{(n)}} P_t g(x) = -\int_{\mathbb{H}} g(z + T_t x) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{p'_{k,t}(z_k)}{p_{k,t}(z_k)} e^{-\gamma_k t h_k} \right) \mu_t^0(dz).$$

Therefore, we get that

$$D_h P_t g(x) := \lim_{n\to\infty} D_{h_{(n)}} P_t g(x) = -\int_{\mathbb{H}} g(z + T_t x) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p'_{k,t}(z_k)}{p_{k,t}(z_k)} e^{-\gamma_k t h_k} \right) \mu_t^0(dz),$$

and for any $0 < s < 1$,

$$|D_{h(n)} P_t g(x + s h_{(n)})| \leqslant A_t \|g\|_{\mathbb{H},\infty} \|h\|.$$

Since

$$P_t g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}} g(z + T_t x)\, \mu_t(dz),$$

$(P_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is Feller, i.e. for any $f \in C_b(\mathbb{H})$, $P_t f \in C_b(\mathbb{H})$ for any $t \geqslant 0$. Hence, by the fact that

$$P_t g(x + h_{(n)}) - P_t g(x) = \int_0^1 D_{h_{(n)}} P_t g(x + s h_{(n)})\, ds$$

and again by the dominated convergence theorem, we can pass to the limit, as $n \to \infty$, and get that

$$P_t g(x + h) - P_t g(x) = \int_0^1 D_h P_t g(x + sh)\, ds$$

and for any $0 < s < 1$,

$$|D_h P_t g(x + sh)| \leqslant A_t \|g\|_{\mathbb{H},\infty} \|h\|.$$

Therefore, for any $g \in C_b(\mathbb{H})$, it holds that

$$|P_t g(x + h) - P_t g(x)| \leqslant A_t \|g\|_{\mathbb{H},\infty} \|h\|.$$

According to [11, Lemma 7.1.5], the estimate above also holds for any $g \in B_b(\mathbb{H})$. Hence, we prove the required assertion (3.17).

Furthermore, according to the definition of $\|\nabla P_t\|_\infty$, there is a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $x, z \in \mathbb{H}$ with $\|z\| \leqslant 1$, $t > 0$, $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_0$ and $g \in B_b(\mathbb{H})$,

$$|P_t g(x + \varepsilon z) - P_t g(x)| \leqslant 2\varepsilon \|\nabla P_t\|_\infty \|z\| \|g\|_{\mathbb{H},\infty}.$$

On the other hand, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$ with $x \neq y$, we can choose n large enough such that $\|x - y\|/n \leqslant \varepsilon_0$ and set $x_i = x + i(y - x)/n$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_t(x,\cdot) - P_t(y,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{Var}} &\leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|P_t(x_i,\cdot) - P_t(x_{i-1},\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{Var}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sup_{\|g\|_{\mathbb{H},\infty}\leqslant 1} |P_t g(x_i) - P_t g(x_{i-1})| \\ &\leqslant 2\|\nabla P_t\|_\infty \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|x_i - x_{i-1}\| \\ &= 2\|\nabla P_t\|_\infty \|x - y\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Then, the desired assertion (3.18) is a consequence of (3.17) and (3.19).

Finally, for any $k \geqslant 1$ and $r, t > 0$,

$$F_{k,t}(r) \geqslant t f_k(e^{-2\gamma_k t} r)$$

and

$$F_{k,t}(r) \geqslant \int_0^{t/2} f_k(e^{-2\gamma_k s} r)\, ds = \int_{e^{-\gamma_k t}}^1 \frac{1}{2\gamma_k u} f(ur)\, du \geqslant \frac{1-e^{-\gamma_k t}}{2\gamma_k} f(e^{-\gamma_k t} r).$$

Thus, for any $t > 0$,

$$A_t^2 \leqslant 2 \sup_{k\geqslant 1} \left[\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-t f_k(r)}\, dr \right) \wedge \left(e^{-\gamma_k t} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2\gamma_k t}}{2\gamma_k} f_k(r)}\, dr \right) \right] = C_t^2 < \infty. \tag{3.20}$$

This proves the last assertion. □

At the end of this subsection, we take the following example by applying Proposition 3.2.

Example 3.3. Let X be the process with components (1.3), where the cylindrical Lévy process Z is of the form (2.10), and for any $n \geqslant 1$, $(Z_t^n)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a subordinated Brownian motion associated with the common Bernstein function f satisfying

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{f(r)}{\log(1+r)} = \infty, \qquad \lim_{s\to 0 \text{ or } s\to\infty} \frac{f(\lambda s)}{f(s)} \in (0,\infty) \quad \text{ for } \lambda > 0.$$

Suppose that

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^1 \left(\beta_n^2 e^{-2\gamma_n s} \int_0^{\beta_n^{-2} e^{2\gamma_n s}} f(r^{-1})\, dr + \int_{\beta_n^{-2} e^{2\gamma_n s}}^\infty r^{-1} f(r^{-1})\, dr \right) ds < \infty. \tag{3.21}$$

Then, the process X is a Markov process taking values in $\mathbb{H}$, and for any $t > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$,

$$\|\nabla P_t\|_\infty \leqslant C_t,$$

and

$$\|P_t(x,\cdot) - P_t(y,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{Var}} \leqslant 2C_t \|x-y\|,$$

where

$$C_t := \sqrt{2} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{\inf_{k\geqslant 1} \beta_k} \sqrt{\int_0^\infty e^{-t f(r)}\, dr} \right) \wedge \sqrt{\sup_{k\geqslant 1} \left(\frac{e^{-\gamma_k t}}{\beta_k^2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2\gamma_k t}}{2\gamma_k} f(r)}\, dr \right)} \right\}, \quad t > 0.$$

Proof. We first give a upper bound for the Lévy measure of one-dimensional subordinate Brownian motion B_{S_t}, where S is a subordinator associated with the Bernstein function f satisfying the assumptions in the example. According to [14, Lemma 1.1] (also see the proof of it in [14, Appendix 2]), the corresponding Lévy measure ν of B_{S_t} is given by $\nu(dz) = \rho(z^2)\, dz$, where

$$\rho(z^2) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{1/2}} \int_0^\infty s^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{4s} \right) \mu(ds), \tag{3.22}$$

and μ is the Lévy measure corresponding to f, i.e.

$$f(r) = \int_0^\infty \left(1 - e^{-rt} \right) \mu(dt),$$

see [32] and [5, the remark below Theorem 1.1]. Note that, it is equivalent to saying that

$$f(r) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^t r e^{-rs}\, ds\, \mu(dt) = r \int_0^\infty e^{-rs} \mu(s,\infty)\, ds.$$

Since for any $\lambda > 0$, $\lim_{s\to\infty} f(\lambda s)/f(s) \in (0,\infty)$, by [4, Theorem 1.4.1, Page 17; Proposition 1.5.1, Page 22], there exist $\rho \geqslant 0$ and a positive function l on $[0,\infty)$ slowly varying at ∞ (i.e. l satisfies $\lim_{x\to\infty} l(\lambda x)/l(x) = 1$ for each $\lambda > 0$) such that $f(s) = s^\rho l(s)$. Following [4, Section 1.4.2, Definitation, Page 38]), we call f regularly varying at infinity with index ρ. According to the Abelian and Tauberian theorems (see [4, Theorem 1.7.1, Page 37]), $h_\mu(s) := \mu(s,\infty)$ is regularly varying at 0 (with index $-\rho$) and for r large enough,

$$f(r) \asymp \mu\big(r^{-1},\infty\big).$$

Applying the Abelian and Tauberian theorems and the monotone density theorem (see [4, Theorem 1.7.2, Page 39]) to (3.22) further yields for $|z|$ small enough

$$\rho(z^2) \asymp |z|^{-1}\mu\big(4z^2,\infty\big).$$

Here we also have used the fact that $\tilde{h}_\mu(s) := \mu\big(\frac{1}{4s},\infty\big)$ is regularly varying at ∞. Combining all the conclusions above with the fact that f is regularly varying at ∞, we can choose two constants $r_1, c_1 > 0$ such that for $0 < |z| \leqslant r_1$,

$$|z|^{-1} f(z^{-2}) \geqslant c_1 \rho(z^2).$$

By following the same arguments as above and using the condition that any $\lambda > 0$, $\lim_{s\to 0} f(\lambda s)/f(s) \in (0,\infty)$, one can verify that there are two constants $r_2, c_2 > 0$ such that for $|z| \geqslant r_2$,

$$|z|^{-1} f(z^{-2}) \geqslant c_2 \rho(z^2).$$

Since the functions $r \mapsto \rho(r^2)$ and $r \mapsto r^{-1}f(r^{-2})$ are continuous and positive on bounded interval $[r_1, r_2]$, we can finally find a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that for any $|z| > 0$,

$$|z|^{-1} f(z^{-2}) \geqslant c_0 \rho(z^2). \tag{3.23}$$

By changing the variables, we find that condition (3.21) implies

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^1 \left(\beta_n^2 e^{-2\gamma_n s} \int_0^{\beta_n^{-1} e^{\gamma_n s}} r f(r^{-2})\, dr + \int_{\beta_n^{-1} e^{\gamma_n s}}^\infty r^{-1} f(r^{-2})\, dr \right) ds < \infty. \tag{3.24}$$

Therefore, according to (3.24), (3.23) and (2.12), the process X takes values in $\mathbb{H}$ and it is Markovian, also thanks to Corollary 2.3.

For any $n \geqslant 1$, the process $(\beta_n Z_t^n)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a subordinate Brownian motion with the Bernstein function $r \mapsto f_n(r) := f(\beta_n^2 r)$. Then, the required assertions for gradient estimate and the coupling property follow from Proposition 3.2. □

3.3. **Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Processes on $\mathbb{H}$ Driven by Cylindrical Lévy Processes.**

In this part, we will present the proof of Theorem 1.1 by using the split technique, e.g. see [5, Theorem 1.1] and [33, Theorem 3.2].

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By the assumption (1.5) on $\nu_n(dz)$, we can get that

$$Z_t^n = Y_t^n + \overline{Z_t^n}, \quad n \geqslant 1, t > 0,$$

where $(Y_t^n)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a Lévy process on $\mathbb{R}$ with Lévy measure

$$\nu_{n,Y}(dz) = |z|^{-1} f_n(|z|^{-2})\, dz,$$

and $(\overline{Z_t^n})_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a Lévy process independent of $(Y_t^n)_{t\geqslant 0}$. For any $t > 0$, set $Y_t = (Y_t^n)_{n\geqslant 1}$ and $\overline{Z}_t = (\overline{Z_t^n})_{n\geqslant 1}$.

Let X_1 and X_2 be the processes with components (1.3) by replacing $Z=(Z_t^n)_{n\geqslant 1}$ with Y and $\overline{Z}$, respectively. Since (1.4) holds, we know form Corollary 2.3 that the processes X_1 and X_2 take values in $\mathbb{H}$, and both are Markovian. Let $(P_t^{X_1})_{t\geqslant 0}$ and $(\overline{P_t})_{t\geqslant 0}$ be the semigroups corresponding to X_1 and X_2, respectively. Then, by the independence of $(Y_t^n)_{t\geqslant 0}$ and $(\overline{Z_t^n})_{t\geqslant 0}$, we have

$$P_t = P_t^{X_1}\overline{P_t}, \quad t\geqslant 0.$$

Therefore, for any $x,z\in\mathbb{H}$, $t>0$ and $g\in B_b(\mathbb{H})$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla_z P_t g(x)| &= \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Big|P_t g(x+\varepsilon z)-P_t g(x)\Big|\\ &= \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Big|P_t^{X_1}\overline{P_t}g(x+\varepsilon z)-P_t^{X_1}\overline{P_t}g(x)\Big|\\ &\leqslant \|\nabla P_t^{X_1}\|_\infty\|z\|\|\overline{P_t}g\|_{\mathbb{H},\infty}\\ &\leqslant \|\nabla P_t^{X_1}\|_\infty\|z\|\|g\|_{\mathbb{H},\infty},\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from $\|\overline{P_t}\|_{\mathbb{H}\to\mathbb{H}}\leqslant 1$ for any $t>0$. This implies that

$$\|\nabla P_t\|_\infty \leqslant \|\nabla P_t^{X_1}\|_\infty, \quad t>0.$$

On the other hand, for any $t>0$ and $x,y\in\mathbb{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_t(x,\cdot)-P_t(y,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{Var}} &= \sup_{\|g\|_{\mathbb{H},\infty}\leqslant 1}|P_t g(x)-P_t g(y)|\\ &= \sup_{\|g\|_{\mathbb{H},\infty}\leqslant 1}|P_t^{X_1}\overline{P_t}g(x)-P_t^{X_1}\overline{P_t}g(y)|\\ &\leqslant \sup_{\|g\|_{\mathbb{H},\infty}\leqslant 1}|P_t^{X_1}g(x)-P_t^{X_1}g(y)|\\ &= \|P_t^{X_1}(x,\cdot)-P_t^{X_1}(y,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{Var}},\end{aligned}$$

where the inequality above also follows from $\|\overline{P_t}\|_{\mathbb{H}\to\mathbb{H}}\leqslant 1$ for any $t>0$.

Next, we turn to estimate $\|\nabla P_t^{X_1}\|_\infty$ and $\|P_t^{X_1}(x,\cdot)-P_t^{X_1}(y,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{Var}}$. For any $n\geqslant 1$, define

$$dX_t^{1,n} = -\gamma_n X_t^{1,n}\,dt + dY_t^n.$$

Then, the law of $X_t^{1,n}$ is an infinitely divisible probability distribution, and its characteristic exponent is

$$\varphi_{n,t}^1(h) := -\log(\mathbb{E}(e^{ihX_t^{1,n}})) = \int_0^t\int\Big(1-e^{ihe^{-\gamma_n s}z}\Big)|z|^{-1}f_n(z^{-2})\,dz\,ds$$

for $t\geqslant 0$ and $h\in\mathbb{R}$, e.g. see [30, Theorem 3.1] and [19, Proposition 2.1]. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{n,t}^1(h) =& \int_0^t\int\Big(1-\cos\big(he^{-\gamma_n s}z\big)\Big)|z|^{-1}f_n(z^{-2})\,dz\,ds,\\ =& \int_0^t\int\Big(1-\cos\big|he^{-\gamma_n s}z\big|\Big)|z|^{-1}f_n(z^{-2})\,dz\,ds,\\ =&2\int_0^t\int_0^\infty(1-\cos u)u^{-1}f_n(e^{-2\gamma_n s}u^{-2}h^2)\,du\,ds.\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\phi_{n,t}^1(r) = 2\int_0^t\int_0^\infty(1-\cos u)u^{-1}f_n(e^{-2\gamma_n s}u^{-2}r)\,du\,ds, \quad r>0.$$

Since, for any $n \geqslant 1$ and $u, s > 0$, the function $r \mapsto (1-\cos u)u^{-1} f_n(e^{-2\gamma_n s}u^{-2}r)$ is a Bernstein function, it follows from [32, Corollary 3.7] that $\phi^1_{n,t}$ is also a Bernstein function. Now, according to Proposition 3.2, for any $t > 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$,

$$\|\nabla P_t^{X_1}\|_\infty \leqslant \sqrt{2\sup_{k\geqslant 1}\left(e^{-2\gamma_k t}\int_0^\infty e^{-\phi^1_{k,t}(r)}\,dr\right)},$$

and

$$\|P_t^{X_1}(x,\cdot) - P_t^{X_1}(y,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{Var}} \leqslant 2\sqrt{2\sup_{k\geqslant 1}\left(e^{-2\gamma_k t}\int_0^\infty e^{-\phi^1_{k,t}(r)}\,dr\right)}\|x-y\|.$$

Furthermore, by (3.20),

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^1_{n,t}(r) \geqslant&(\cos 1)\int_0^t\int_0^1 u f_n(e^{-2\gamma_n s}u^{-2}r)\,du\,ds\\ =&\frac{\cos 1}{2}\int_0^t\int_0^1 f_n(e^{-2\gamma_n s}v^{-1}r)\,dv\,ds\\ \geqslant&\frac{\cos 1}{2}\int_0^t f_n(e^{-2\gamma_n s}r)\,ds\\ \geqslant&\frac{\cos 1}{2}\left[\Big(t f_n(e^{-2\gamma_n t}r)\Big)\wedge\Big(\frac{1-e^{-\gamma_n t}}{2\gamma_n}f_n(e^{-\gamma_n t}r)\Big)\right].\end{aligned}$$

Combining with all estimates above yields the required assertion. □

We close this section with some comments on Theorem 1.1.

Remark 3.4. (1) When the right hand side of (1.6) is finite for any $t > 0$, the gradient estimate (1.6) implies the strong Feller property of the semigroup $(P_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$, i.e. for any $t > 0$ and $g \in B_b(\mathbb{H})$, $P_t g \in C_b(\mathbb{H})$. Indeed, in this case one can follow the proof above and show that under the assumptions in Theorem 1.1, for any $t > 0$ and $g \in B_b(\mathbb{H})$, $P_t g \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{H})$, where $C_b^\infty(\mathbb{H})$ is the space of all infinite differentiable functions g on $\mathbb{H}$ such that their Fréchet derivatives $D^i g$, for all $i \geqslant 1$, are continuous and bounded on $\mathbb{H}$. See [40] for the recent study on this topic in finite dimension setting.

(2) Suppose that $\int_0^\infty r^{-1} f_n(r^{-2})\,dr = \infty$ for any $n \geqslant 1$. Then, under condition (1.5) and by applying [29, Theorem 24.10 (ii)], we can follow the proof of [25, Theorem 3.3] to prove that the process X with components (1.3) is irreducible; that is, for any open ball $D \subset \mathbb{H}$ and $t > 0$, we have $\mathbb{P}(X_t^x \in D) > 0$. Furthermore, according to the Hasminkii theorem (see [11, Proposition 4.1.1]), for any $t > 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$, the laws of X_t^x and X_t^y are equivalent, e.g. see [23, Theorem 4.12 and Remark 4.16].

4. Exponential Ergodicity of Linear Evolution Equations with Cylindrical Lévy noise

We begin with the

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (1) First, we mention that the assumption (i) implies that the following two conditions are satisfied:

(iii)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty}\left(e^{-2\gamma_n s}\int_{\{|z|\leqslant e^{\gamma_n s}\}} z^2\,\nu_n(dz)+\int_{\{|z|>e^{\gamma_n s}\}}\nu_n(dz)\right)ds<\infty;$$

(iv)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\alpha\gamma_n s}\int_{\{|z|>e^{\gamma_n s}\}}|z|^{\alpha}\,\nu_n(dz)\,ds<\infty.$$

According to (iii) and Corollary 2.3, the process $X=(X_t^x)_{t\geqslant 0}$ takes values in $\mathbb{H}$, and it is Markovian. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $C_t<\infty$ for any $t>0$. Then, by Theorem 1.1 and (ii), for any $t>0$ and $x,y\in\mathbb{H}$,

$$\|P_t(x,\cdot)-P_t(y,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{Var}}\leqslant 2C_t\|x-y\|, \tag{4.25}$$

where

$$C_t=\sqrt{2\sup_{k\geqslant 1}\left[\left(\int_0^{\infty}e^{-\frac{\cos 1}{2}tf_k(r)}\,dr\right)\wedge\left(e^{-\gamma_k t}\int_0^{\infty}e^{-\frac{(\cos 1)(1-e^{-2\gamma_k t})}{4\gamma_k}f_k(r)}\,dr\right)\right]}.$$

(2) Clearly, (iv) gives us that

(v) for any $n\geqslant 1$,

$$\int_{\{|z|>1\}}|z|^{\alpha}\,\nu_n(dz)<\infty;$$

in particular,

$$\int_{\{|z|>1\}}\log(1+|z|)\,\nu_n(dz)<\infty.$$

Due to the assumptions (iii) and (v), one can follow the proof of [25, Proposition 2.11] to get that there exists an invariant probability measure μ for the process X.

Indeed, by the assumption (v) and the fact that $\gamma_n>0$, according to [30, Theorem 4.1] or [29, Theorem 17.5], for each $n\geqslant 1$, one dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process $(X_t^n)_{t\geqslant 0}$ has an invariant probability measure μ_n, which is the law of the random variable

$$\int_0^{\infty}e^{-\gamma_n s}\,dZ_s^n.$$

Therefore, the product measure

$$\mu=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\mu_n$$

on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an invariant measure of $X=(X_t^x)$. To prove that μ is a probability measure on $\mathbb{H}$, it remains to show that $\mu(\mathbb{H})=1$. Let $\xi=(\xi_n)$ be a random variable on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with

$$\xi_n=\int_0^{\infty}e^{-\gamma_n s}\,dZ_s^n,\quad n\geqslant 1.$$

According to Proposition 2.1, ξ takes values in $\mathbb{H}$ if and only of the assumption (iii) holds.

(3) We further infer that the process X is ergodic, i.e. the invariant measure μ is unique, and for any $x\in\mathbb{H}$,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\|P_t(x,\cdot)-\mu\|_{\mathrm{Var}}=0.$$

Note that (4.25) and $\lim_{t\to\infty} C_t = 0$ imply that when $t \to \infty$,

$$\|P_t(x,\cdot) - P_t(y,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{Var}}$$

converges to zero locally uniformly for all $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$. Let $(P_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ be the semigroup associated with the process X. Since $\mu P_t = \mu$ for any $t > 0$,

$$\|P_t(x,\cdot) - \mu\|_{\mathrm{Var}} \leqslant \int \|P_t(x,\cdot) - P_t(y,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{Var}}\, \mu(dy)$$

holds for any $x \in \mathbb{H}$ and $t > 0$. This along with the statement above yields the second required assertion.

Let μ_1 and μ_2 be invariant measures for $(P_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$. Then,

$$\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{\mathrm{Var}} \leqslant \int \|P_t(x,\cdot) - P_t(y,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{Var}}\, \mu_1(dx)\, \mu_2(dy),$$

which gives us that $\mu_1 = \mu_2$, by letting $t \to \infty$. This proves the uniqueness of invariant measure.

(4) To obtain the explicit estimates for the ergodicity of the process X, we shall further make full use of (4.25). For any $t, s > 0$, $g \in B_b(\mathbb{H})$ and $\alpha \in (0,1)$, by the Markov property,

$$\begin{aligned}|P_t g(x) - P_{t+s} g(x)| =&|\mathbb{E}(P_t g(x) - P_t g(X_s^x))|\\ \leqslant&\mathbb{E}|P_t g(x) - P_t g(X_s^x)|\\ =&\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{|P_t g(x) - P_t g(X_s^x)|^\alpha}{\|X_s^x - x\|^\alpha}|P_t g(x) - P_t g(X_s^x)|^{1-\alpha}\|X_s^x - x\|^\alpha\right)\\ \leqslant&(2C_t)^\alpha \|g\|_{\mathbb{H},\infty}^\alpha \times (2\|g\|_{\mathbb{H},\infty})^{1-\alpha} \times \mathbb{E}\big(\|X_s^x - x\|^\alpha\big)\\ =&2C_t^\alpha \|g\|_{\mathbb{H},\infty} \mathbb{E}\big(\|T_s x - x + Y_s\|^\alpha\big),\end{aligned}$$

where $T_s x = (e^{-\gamma_n s} x_n)_{n\geqslant 1}$ and

$$Y_s = \left(\int_0^s e^{-\gamma_n (s-u)}\, dZ_u^n\right)_{n\geqslant 1}.$$

Since $\alpha \in (0,1]$, it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}\big(\|T_s x - x + Y_s\|^\alpha\big) \leqslant \mathbb{E}\big((\|T_s x - x\| + \|Y_s\|)^\alpha\big) \leqslant \|T_s x - x\|^\alpha + \mathbb{E}\|Y_s\|^\alpha$$

and

$$\|T_s x - x\|^\alpha \leqslant \|T_s x\|^\alpha + \|x\|^\alpha \leqslant (e^{-s\alpha \inf_{n\geqslant 1} \gamma_n} + 1)\|x\|^\alpha \leqslant 2\|x\|^\alpha,$$

where we have used the fact that for any $a, b \geqslant 0$ and $\alpha \in (0,1]$,

$$(a+b)^\alpha \leqslant a^\alpha + b^\alpha.$$

On the other hand, under the assumptions (iii) and (iv), one will prove below that $\mathbb{E}\|Y_s\|^\alpha$ is uniformly bounded for all $s > 0$, i.e.

$$\sup_{s>0} \mathbb{E}\|Y_s\|^\alpha < \infty. \tag{4.26}$$

If (4.26) holds, then

$$\sup_{s>0} \mathbb{E}\big(\|T_s x - x + Y_s\|^\alpha\big) \leqslant C(1 + \|x\|^\alpha)$$

holds for any $x \in \mathbb{H}$ and some constant $C > 0$. Combining with all the conclusions above, we get that

$$|P_t g(x) - P_{t+s} g(x)| \leqslant C(1 + \|x\|^\alpha) C_t^\alpha \|g\|_{\mathbb{H},\infty}.$$

That is,

$$\|P_t(x,\cdot)-P_{t+s}(x,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{Var}}\leqslant C(1+\|x\|^\alpha)C_t^\alpha.$$

The proof is completed by letting $s\to\infty$ and noting that μ is the invariant measure of $(P_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$.

(5) Next, we turn to prove (4.26). For any $n\geqslant 1$ and $t>0$, set

$$Y_t^n=\int_0^t e^{-\gamma_n(t-s)}\,dZ_s^n.$$

Since $(Z_t^n)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a symmetric Lévy process, Y_t^n is a symmetric infinitely divisible random variable with Lévy measure $\nu_{n,t}$ as follows:

$$\nu_{n,t}(D)=\int_0^t \nu_n(e^{-s\gamma_n}D)\,ds,\qquad D\in\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}).$$

In the following, we see Y_t^n as the position of some symmetric Lévy process with Lévy measure $\nu_{n,t}$ at time 1. According to the Lévy-Itô decomposition, see [29, Chapter 4], we know that

$$Y_t^n=\int_0^1\int_{\{|z|\leqslant 1\}} z\,\widetilde{N}_{n,t}(dz,ds)+\int_0^1\int_{\{|z|>1\}} z\,N_{n,t}(dz,ds)=:Y_t^{n,1}+Y_t^{n,2},$$

where $\widetilde{N}_{n,t}(dz,ds)$ is the compensated Poisson measure, i.e.

$$\widetilde{N}_{n,t}(dz,ds)=N_{n,t}(dz,ds)-\nu_{n,t}(dz)\,ds.$$

Set $Y_t^1=\big(Y_t^{n,1}\big)_{n\geqslant 1}$ and $Y_t^2=\big(Y_t^{n,2}\big)_{n\geqslant 1}$. Since $\widetilde{N}_{n,t}(dz,ds)$ is a square integrable martingale measure, for any $t>0$, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\|Y_t^1\|^\alpha \leqslant&\mathbb{E}(\|Y_t^1\|^2)^{\alpha/2}\\ =&\Big(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{E}|Y_t^{n,1}|^2\Big)^{\alpha/2}\\ =&\Big(\sum_{n=1}^\infty\int_0^1 ds\int z^2\,\nu_{n,t}(dz)\Big)^{\alpha/2}\\ =&\Big(\sum_{n=1}^\infty\int_0^t e^{-2\gamma_n s}\int_{\{|z|\leqslant e^{\gamma_n s}\}} z^2\,\nu_n(dz)\,ds\Big)^{\alpha/2}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $Y_t^{n,2}$ is a compound Poisson random variable with intensity $\nu_{n,t}(\{z\in\mathbb{R},|z|>1\})$. As the same argument as that for Y_t^n, we regard $Y_t^{n,2}$ as the position of some compound Poisson process $(E_{n,t}(s))_{s\geqslant 0}$ with Lévy measure $\mathbb{1}_{\{|z|>1\}}(z)\,\nu_{n,t}(dz)$ at time 1. According to the Itô formula for compound Poisson process, and the facts that $Y_0^{n,2}=0$ and $(a+b)^\alpha\leqslant a^\alpha+b^\alpha$ for any $a,b\geqslant 0$ and

$\alpha \in (0,1]$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}|Y_t^{n,2}|^\alpha =&\mathbb{E}\int_0^1 \int_{\{|z|>1\}} \Big(|E_{n,t}(s)+z|^\alpha - |E_{n,t}(s)|^\alpha \Big)\, \nu_{n,t}(dz)\, ds \\ \leqslant&\mathbb{E}\int_0^1 \int_{\{|z|>1\}} \Big((|E_{n,t}(s)|+|z|)^\alpha - |E_{n,t}(s)|^\alpha \Big)\, \nu_{n,t}(dz)\, ds \\ \leqslant& \int_0^1 ds \int_{\{|z|>1\}} |z|^\alpha \, \nu_{n,t}(dz) \\ =& \int_0^t e^{-\alpha\gamma_n s} \int_{\{|z|>e^{\gamma_n s}\}} |z|^\alpha\, \nu_n(dz)\, ds.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get that

$$\mathbb{E}\|Y_t^2\|^\alpha \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{E}|Y_t^{n,2}|^\alpha \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^t e^{-\alpha\gamma_n s} \int_{\{|z|>e^{\gamma_n s}\}} |z|^\alpha\, \nu_n(dz)\, ds.$$

Combining with all the conclusions above with the assumptions (iii) and (iv), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t>0} \mathbb{E}\|Y_t\|^\alpha \leqslant& \Big(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-2\gamma_n s} \int_{\{|z|\leqslant e^{\gamma_n s}\}} z^2\, \nu_n(dz)\, ds \Big)^{\alpha/2} \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha\gamma_n s} \int_{\{|z|>e^{\gamma_n s}\}} |z|^\alpha\, \nu_n(dz)\, ds \\ &< \infty.\end{aligned}$$

The required assertion (4.26) follows. □

To conclude this section and to furthermore illustrate the power of Theorem 1.2, we take the following example, which has been studied in [26, Example 2.7] and [27, Example 2.9].

Example 4.1. Consider the following stochastic linear equation on $D = [0,\pi]^d$ with the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} dX(t,\xi) = \Delta X(t,\xi)\, dt + dZ_t(\xi), \\ X(0,\xi) = X(\xi), \\ X(t,\xi) = 0, \quad \xi \in \partial D \end{cases}$$

where $(Z_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is defined below. The Laplace operator $-\Delta$ with the Dirichlet boundary condition on D has the following eigenfunctions

$$e_n = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{d/2} \sin(n_1\xi) \cdots \sin(n_d\xi_d), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^d, \xi \in D,$$

and eigenvalues

$$\gamma_n = |n|^2 = n_1^2 + n_2^2 + \cdots + n_d^2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^d.$$

We study the dymamics defined above in the Hilbert space $\mathbb{H} = L^2(D)$ with the orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}$. Let us assume that $Z = (Z_t)$ is a cylindrical α-stable noise written in the form

$$Z_t = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^d} |n|^\beta Z_t^n e_n, \quad t \geqslant 0,$$

where $(Z_t^n)_{n\geqslant 1}$ are i.i.d. symmetric α-stable processes with $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and β is a real number. According to Theorem 1.2, if

$$\frac{d}{\alpha} < \frac{2}{\alpha} - \beta,$$

then the system indeed takes values in $\mathbb{H}$, and it is exponentially ergodic. The condition above implies that by choosing β negative enough the system can be exponentially ergodic for all $d \geqslant 1$, which also extends [27, Example 2.9].

Acknowledgement. Financial support through National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11201073), the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in Universities of Fujian (No. JA12053) and the Program for Nonlinear Analysis and Its Applications (No. IRTL1206) is gratefully acknowledged. The author would like to thank the referee for careful reading and valuable comments and suggestions.

References

[1] Applebaum, D.: Martingale-valued measures, Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with jumps and operator self-decomposability in Hilbert space, in: Séminaire de Probabilités vol **39**, 171–196, *Lect. Notes in Math.*, **1874**, Springer, Berlin 2006.

[2] Applebaum, D.: On the infinitesimal generators of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with jumps in Hilbert spaces, *Potential Anal.*, **26** (2007), 79–100.

[3] Applebaum, D. and Riedle, M.: Cylindrical Lévy processes in Banach spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **101** (2010), 697–726.

[4] Bingham, N.H., Goldie, C.M. and Teugels, J.L.: *Regular Variation*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. **27**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2001.

[5] Böttcher, B., Schilling, R.L. and Wang, J.: Constructions of coupling processes for Lévy processes, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, **121** (2011), 1201–1216.

[6] Bogachev, V.I., Röckner, M. and Schmuland, B.: Generalised Mehler semigroups and applications, *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, **105** (1996), 193–225.

[7] Brzeźniak, Z., Goldys, B., Imkeller, P., Peszat, S., Priola, E. and Zabczyk, J.: Time irregularity of generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I*, **348** (2010), 273–276.

[8] Brzeźniak, Z. and Zabczyk, J.: Regularity of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes driven by a Lévy white noise, *Potential Anal.*, **32** (2010), 153–188.

[9] Chojnowska-Mikhalik, A.: On processes of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type in Hilbert space, *Stochastics*, **21** (1987), 251–286.

[10] Chojnowska-Mikhalik, A. and Goldys, B.: Exponential ergodicity of semilinear equations driven by Lévy processes in Hilbert spaces, 2014, see arXiv:1404.3323v1

[11] Da Prato, G. and Zabczyk, J.: *Ergodicity for Infinite Dimensional Systems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1996.

[12] Fuhrman, M. and Röckner, M.: Generalized Mehler semigroups: the non-Gaussian case, *Potential Anal.*, **12** (2000), 1–47.

[13] Jacob, N.: *Pseudo Differential Operators and Markov Processes. Vol. 1: Fourier Analysis and Semigroups*, Imperial College Press, London 2001.

[14] Jacob, N. and Schilling, R.L.: Function spaces as Dirichlet spaces — about a paper by Maz'ya and Nagel, *Z. Analysis Anwendungen*, **24** (2005), 3–28.

[15] Kallenberg, O.: *Foundations of Modern Probability*, Springer, New York 2002.

[16] Knopova, V. and Schilling, R.L.: A note on the existence of transition probability densities for Lévy processes, *Forum Mathematicum*, **25** (2013), 125–149.

[17] Lescot, P. and Röckner, M.: Generators of Mehler-type semigroups as pseudo-differential operators, *Infinite Dimensional Anal. and Quantum Prob.*, **5** (2002), 297–315.

[18] Liu, Y. and Zhai, J.L.: A note on time regularity of generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with cylindrical stable noise, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I*, **350** (2012), 97–100.

[19] Masuda, H.: On multidimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes driven by a general Lévy process, *Bernoulli* **10** (2004), 97–120.

[20] Parthasarathy, K.R.: *Probability Measures on Metric Spaces*, Academic Press, New York 1967.

[21] Peszat, S. and Zabczyk, J.: *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations with Lévy Noise*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2007.

[22] Peszat, S. and Zabczyk, J.: Time regularity of solutions to linear equations with Lévy noise in infinite dimensions, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, **123** (2013), 719–751.

[23] Priola, E. and Zabczyk, J.: Structural properties of semlinear SPDEs driven by cylindrical stable processes, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, **149** (2011), 97–137.

[24] Priola, E. and Zabczyk, J.: Structural properties of semlinear SPDEs driven by cylindrical stable processes, 2011, see arXiv:0810.5063v2

[25] Priola, E. and Zabczyk, J.: On linear evolution equations with cylindrical Lévy noise, in: Da Prato, G. and Tubaro, L. (eds.): *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations and Applications VIII*, Proceedings of Levico Conference on Stochastic Partial Differential Equations and Applications, Quaderni di Mathematica, Seconda Università di Napoli, **25** (2010), 223–242.

[26] Priola, E., Xu, L. and Zabczyk, J.: Exponential mixing for some SPDEs with Lévy niose, *Stochastic and Dynamics*, **11** (2011), 521–534.

[27] Priola, E., Shirikyan, A., Xu, L. and Zabczyk, J.: Exponential ergodicity and regularity for equations with Lévy niose, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, **122** (2012), 106–133.

[28] Riedle, M.: Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes driven by cylindrical Lévy processes, 2012, see arXiv:1212.3832v3

[29] Sato, K.: *Lévy processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1999.

[30] Sato, K. and Yamazato, M.: Operator-selfdecomposable distributions as limit distributions of processes of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, **17** (1984), 73–100.

[31] Schilling, R.L.: Growth and Hölder conditions for the sample paths of Feller processes, *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, **112** (1998), 565–661.

[32] Schilling, R.L., Song, R.M. and Vondraček, Z.: *Bernstein Functions—Theory and Applications*, Studies in Math. vol. **37**, DeGruyter, Berlin 2010.

[33] Schilling, R.L., Sztonyk, P. and Wang, J.: Coupling property and gradient estimates of Lévy processes via symbol, *Bernoulli*, **18** (2012), 1128–1149.

[34] Schilling, R.L. and Wang, J.: On the coupling property of Lévy processes, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré: Probab. Stat.*, **47** (2011), 1147–1159.

[35] Schilling, R.L. and Wang, J.: On the coupling property and the Liouville theorem for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, *J. Evol. Equ.*, **12** (2012), 119–140.

[36] Wang, F.-Y.: Coupling for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck jump processes, *Bernoulli*, **17** (2011), 1136–1158.

[37] Wang, F.-Y.: Gradient estimate for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck jump processes, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, **121** (2011), 466–478.

[38] Wang, F.-Y. and Wang, J.: Coupling and strong Feller for jump processes on Banach spaces, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, **123** (2013), 1588–1615.

[39] Wang, J.: Regularity for semigroups of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, *Positivity*, **17** (2013), 205–221.

[40] Wang, J.: On the exponential ergodicity for Lévy driven Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, *J. Appl. Probab.*, **49** (2012), 901–1203.